Introduction

Tipping Is Agency - Book · https://kb.jeffreysummers.com/docs/introduction/

The Standing Invitation

I've spent forty-four years on the stage. In that time I have not seen a better compensation architecture for the operator, the cast, or the Guest than the one tipping already provides. If you know of one, tell me. I mean that. I will read it. I will work it. I will test it against the three parties it has to serve.

I have yet to receive that letter.

What I have received, for forty-four years, is the same set of objections recycled by people who have never run a tipped room, never staffed one, never closed one out on a Sunday night, never had to look a server in the eye who just made a third of her monthly rent in a single shift and explain to her why the people writing op-eds about her dignity want to take that away.

This piece is my answer.

What This Is

This piece is an operator's piece. The frame is operational. Not societal. Not economic. Not political. Tipping is a tool inside a business architecture, and the only honest way to evaluate a tool is to ask what it does for the three parties standing inside the architecture — the operator, the cast, and the Guest.

That is the test. That is the only test.

The tipping conversation in public life has been politically bastardized. It has been pulled out of the operating room where it belongs and dragged into a courtroom where it does not. There are forces in this culture that would politicize a ham sandwich, and they have. Tipping is one of the sandwiches. I am pulling it back to the kitchen.

If your objection to tipping is societal, economic, or political, you are welcome to make it. You are not welcome to make it here in those terms and expect me to answer it in those terms. I do not accept Road 1 frames on Road 1's terms. I answer the operational question because it is the only

question that belongs to me.

Why I Chose This As The Free Piece

Four reasons.

One. It is the piece most operators most need answered, and the piece most operators have been given the worst answers about. The conversation has been controlled by people

who do not stand inside the room. Operators read those answers and feel something is off and cannot name what. I can name it. I owe them the naming up front and unpaywalled.

Two. The piece is a complete operation of the method. You can watch the frame substitution happen in real time. You can watch a Road 1 objection get refused and a Road 2 question get answered in its place. If the method does not survive this piece it does not survive the book, and if you do not buy the method in this piece you will not buy it in the next twelve. This is the structural test. Free is the right price for the test.

Three. The cast deserves the defense in the open. Servers have been talked about for two decades by people who have never bussed a table. The defense should not sit behind a paywall. It should sit at the front door.

Four. I am eating the cost of this piece on purpose. Sixty-two pages of work, the densest argument in the book, the piece that took the longest to build, sitting outside the paywall. That is the choice. The cast has been talked about for two decades by people who paid nothing to talk about them and charged for the privilege. The defense should run the other way. Free at the front door is the correct price for a defense of the people the prestige conversation has been billing against.

The Moneyball Parallel

There is a scene in Moneyball where Billy Beane is sitting in a room full of scouts and the scouts are talking about jaws and swings and confidence and girlfriends, and Beane stops them and says: the problem we are trying to solve is that there are rich teams and there are poor teams, then there is fifty feet of crap, and then there is us. The scouts are answering a different question than the one in front of them. They are answering the prestige question. Beane is asking the operational question. The room cannot hear it.

Peter Brand says it later, plainly. There is an epidemic failure within the game to understand what is really happening. And this leads people who run baseball teams to misjudge their players and mismanage their teams. The people who understood it first were treated as lepers.

Tipping is the same conversation.

There is an epidemic failure inside the public conversation to understand what tipping actually does inside the operating system of a restaurant. The people writing about it are answering a prestige question — a fairness question, a class question, a dignity question, an optics question — while the operator is trying to answer an operational question about how to compensate a cast for variable performance inside a variable-demand room serving variable Guests across variable shifts. Those are not the same question. They cannot be answered in the same vocabulary. And the people who answer the operational question correctly are, for now, treated as lepers.

This piece is written from inside the operating room. The vocabulary is operational. The metrics are operational. The defense is operational. If you came looking for a prestige answer, you will not find one here, and I will not apologize for that. The prestige conversation has had thirty years and a thousand op-eds. The operational conversation has had almost none. I am writing the one that is missing.

The leper register has another column worth reading. The operators who got out in front of the prestige conversation and tried to abolish tipping inside their own rooms have, almost without exception, walked it back.

Danny Meyer made the loudest version of the experiment. Union Square Hospitality Group rolled out Hospitality Included across thirteen New York restaurants starting in 2015, eliminating tipping and raising menu prices to fund a flat wage structure (New York Times). Five years in, the group reversed the policy and brought tipping back. Roughly forty percent of the legacy front-of-house cast had already left the group during the no-tip years (Restaurant Dive). The strongest performers left first. They could read the math.

Tom Colicchio killed tipping at Craft's lunch service in 2015 and predicted that within ten years no American restaurant would still be using a tipping model (Business Insider). Ten years later that prediction is in the same condition as most prestige-frame predictions about this industry (The Rail).

David Chang launched Nishi as a no-tipping room and reversed the policy. Ko followed. The Momofuku group walked back the experiment across the operation (Restaurant Hospitality) and the broader pattern across the city's no-tip experiments has been documented as a category failure (Employment Policies Institute).

Anthony Bourdain belongs in this section for a different reason. Bourdain is the one celebrity chef the prestige conversation keeps trying to recruit as anti-tipping, and Bourdain was never anti-

tipping. Bourdain was anti-operator-theft. His complaint, on the record, was about operators who skim, who withhold, who run a tip-out structure designed to extract from the cast. He defended the instrument and attacked the operators who abused it (Kitchen Confidential excerpt). That is the correct operator's complaint to have. It is not the prestige conversation's complaint, which is why the prestige conversation keeps misquoting him.

Read those four cases together and the pattern is not subtle. Operators who answered the prestige question by abolishing the tool watched their best cast leave, watched the math fail, and reversed. Operators who answered the operational question — that the abuse of the tool by some operators is not an argument against the tool — kept the tool and went to work on the operators. The leper register is the operator register.

The Three Parties

Tipping is a three-party voluntary architecture. The Guest, the cast member, and the operator are all standing inside it by choice. None of the three is conscripted. The Guest can

eat somewhere that does not use it. The cast member can work somewhere that does not use it. The operator can build a room that does not use it. The fact that all three keep choosing it, decade after decade, market after market, against the loudest cultural pressure any compensation model has ever faced, is the data point the prestige conversation refuses to read.

A voluntary architecture carries a moral weight the prestige conversation does not want to look at. When all three parties have chosen to stand inside the arrangement, the arrangement is owed something by each of them. The operator owes the room. The cast owes the shift. The Guest owes the tip. Walking into a tipped room and refusing the third leg of that architecture is not a preference. It is a breach. I will name it plainly at the end of the piece. I am naming it here so you know the naming is coming.

What follows is what tipping does for each of the three.

For the Cast

Tipping is the ultimate pay-for-performance tool in any domain of business. There is no other compensation architecture in the American economy that pays a working person, in cash, at the end of every shift, in direct proportion to the quality of the work they just delivered. None. Sales commission comes closest and is not close.

This is what people miss when they call tipping unstable. It is not unstable. It is responsive. The instability they are describing is the instability of performance itself, which is the thing the tool is designed to measure. Asking tipping to be stable is asking a thermometer to be warm.

It is also why teachers work the stage in the summer. Why engineers pick up weekend shifts.

Why students pay their way through school on it. Why second-career people walk in at forty-five and never leave. These are not desperate people. These are people who have done the math on what their day job pays them per hour of discretionary effort and discovered that a Friday night double on a tipped stage pays better, faster, and more honestly than any salaried environment they have ever worked inside.

It is real equity in the original structural sense of that word — a direct ownership stake in the outcome of your own labor, settled nightly, in cash, with no intermediary deciding what your effort was worth six months after the fact in a performance review you did not write. The word equity has been politically bastardized in the last decade into something else entirely. I am reclaiming the structural meaning in print. Tipping is one of the few places in the working economy where it still lives.

Ask the question nobody asks. Where else in this economy can a person with no degree, no credential, no prior experience, and no network walk through a door on a Tuesday and be making twenty-five dollars an hour on average by Friday? Name the place. The trades,

which are the closest comparable on-ramp, pay apprentices roughly twenty-two dollars and eighty-one cents an hour on national average (ZipRecruiter) and eighteen dollars at the entry rung (Illinois Economic Policy Institute). First-year electrical apprentices in IBEW programs run fifteen to nineteen dollars (IBEW apprentice pay data). A plumber apprentice in Louisiana runs around seventeen (ZipRecruiter). Most trade apprentices start at fifty to sixty percent of journeyman scale (Skillit). And those are the trades, which require a years-long indenture before the wage curve opens up. At the time of this writing, a competent server on a competent stage clears the trade apprentice rate inside a single week and clears it without a four-year commitment.

That is not a footnote. That is the entire argument.

For the Guest

Tipping is the only lever the Guest has. It is the single point in the entire dining transaction where the Guest gets to participate in the compensation decision. Guests have told me, in my rooms, at my tables, to my face, for four decades, that they want it. Not in a survey. Not in a focus group.

Not in a panel convened by someone who has never plated a cover. At the table, mid-meal, unprompted, on the record of an actual transaction in an actual room. That is the data set the prestige conversation does not have and cannot acquire. I will return to those conversations at the end of the piece. Because they want the control. They want to register, in real money, what they thought of what they just received. A service charge takes that lever away. A flat menu price takes it away. A no-tip room takes it away. The Guests who say they want tipping abolished are, almost without exception, speaking on behalf of Guests who never asked them to.

For the Operator

Tipping is a continuous Guest-validated performance signal that costs the operator nothing to administer and cannot be gamed by the cast member receiving it. The cast member did not write the check. The operator did not write the check. The Guest wrote the check, and the Guest wrote it about one specific person on one specific shift. There is no other feedback mechanism in the business that is that clean, that fast, or that honest. A manager's review is filtered. A mystery shop is filtered. A Yelp review is filtered. A tip is not filtered. It is the raw signal, settled in cash, every night.

That signal also does work the operator does not have to do. The cast self-sorts. Strong performers stay because the room pays them what they are worth. Weak performers leave because the room does not. The operator did not have to fire anybody. The Guests did the staffing decision on the operator's behalf, one table at a time, over the course of a quarter. No HR process in the country runs that cleanly.

What Follows

What follows is eleven objections. Each one is an objection I have heard inside the industry, outside the industry, in print, in person, on stage, and in green rooms. Each one is answered on operational grounds because that is the only ground I will fight on. Where the objection is built on a Road 1 frame, I will refuse the frame and substitute a Road 2 question. Where the objection is built on bad data, I will produce better data. Where the objection is built on a sentiment that does not survive contact with a Friday night service, I will say so.

The standing invitation remains open at the end of the piece as it does at the beginning. If you have a better tool, send it. Until then, this is the one we have, and this is what it does.

The Pushback Catalog

Related Terms In The Framework

- [Tipping Is Agency]
- [Two Roads]
- [The Guest Contract]
- [The Cast Contract]
- [The Hospitality Contract]
- [Guest Experience]

© 2004-2026 Summers Hospitality Group LLC.
All rights reserved. | Legal