3525 The Cast Conflict That Became Us vs Them

People Book · https://kb.jeffreysummers.com/docs/3525-the-cast-conflict-that-became-us-vs-them/

The front of house and the back of house that stopped talking. The Lead who "took sides" in a cast conflict. The cast member who became "a problem" before the situation was fully understood. The culture that divided into those who had been here long enough to know how things really work and those who were still figuring it out.

Every cast dynamic has a binary collapse risk — and the restaurant building is particularly vulnerable because its geography literally divides the cast into two spaces with different pressures, different rhythms, and different definitions of what a good shift looks like.

[Binary Collapse] in the People fundamental produces the us-vs-them dynamic that [Social Friction] sustains. The front-of-house cast that believes the kitchen doesn't understand Guest experience. The kitchen cast that believes the floor doesn't understand execution pressure. Both positions are partially true. The binary made both groups stop listening for the truth in the other's position.

The motive attribution asymmetry is the mechanism: each group assumes their side is driven by care and professionalism. The other side is driven by ignorance or hostility. Once that assumption is in place, the conflict is not about the standard anymore. It is about the story each group is telling about the other — and stories are much harder to change than standards.

The People discipline against [Binary Collapse]: in every cast conflict, name the interest each side is protecting before addressing the position either side is holding. The kitchen's interest is execution quality and a workable pace. The floor's interest is Guest experience and relationship management. Those interests are not opposed. The binary made them look opposed. The interest-level conversation finds the solution the position-level argument could not.