2400 The Price Is a Positioning Statement

Product Book · https://kb.jeffreysummers.com/docs/2400-the-price-is-a-positioning-statement/

The price on your menu is not a math problem. It is a declaration.

Every time a Guest reads a number next to a menu item, they are not calculating whether the ingredient cost justifies the markup. They are reading a signal about what kind of place this is, what kind of Guest you expect them to be, and what kind of relationship you are inviting them into. The price is the first sentence of that conversation — and most operators never write it intentionally.

The Ideal Food Cost model tells you what you need to charge to cover your ingredient cost. That is the floor. It is not the price. The floor tells you the minimum required to survive the transaction. It tells you nothing about what the Guest will pay, what the market expects, or what your positioning demands. An operator who prices exclusively off food cost is making a cost-recovery decision and calling it a pricing strategy. Those are not the same thing.

Here is the test. Take your most ordered menu item. Now ask: is this a neighborhood restaurant where Guests come three times a week, or a destination restaurant where Guests come to celebrate something they'll remember? The answer to that question changes the price — not because the ingredient cost changed, not because the competitor changed, but because the Guest's expectation of what they're buying is completely different. Same soup. Same kitchen. Different relationship. Different price.

The value proposition is the input most independent operators never consciously use. It is also the most load-bearing one. It determines the weight you assign to everything else — how close to the competitor you price, how much margin you hold, how aggressively you respond to cost pressure. An operator without a clear value proposition doesn't have a pricing strategy. They have a series of cost-recovery calculations that drift with every invoice.

Road 1 operators price against their costs and their competitors. Both of those inputs are real and necessary. They are not sufficient. The Road 1 operator who prices at the competitor sets a ceiling on what the relationship is worth — the Guest learns that this restaurant is worth exactly what the place down the street is worth, no more. The price confirmed it.

Road 2 operators price against the relationship. The Guest who trusts you doesn't comparison shop. The Guest who returns because of how your restaurant makes them feel is not weighing your soup against the competitor's soup at a slightly lower price point. They are not in that conversation. You took them out of it — not with a loyalty program, not with a discount, but by building something they cannot find anywhere else.

The price that reflects that relationship is not derived from food cost. It is derived from what you've built and what the Guest knows it's worth. That is a positioning decision. The math follows from it. Not the other way around.

Cross-fundamental note: connects to 5.X — The Price Is Not the Formula (the IFC model as incomplete pricing method — four inputs required, not one) and 5.X — The Price You Set Is Not Always the Price You Realize (the gap between the positioning decision and actual price realization at the table).