2115 Positioning Is a Hypothesis Not a Decision

Product Book · https://kb.jeffreysummers.com/docs/2115-positioning-is-a-hypothesis-not-a-decision/

Every operator who opens a restaurant has an idea of who they're building it for. The menu, the price point, the environment, the service style — all of it is designed around a Guest they have in mind. That Guest is their positioning hypothesis.

Here's what most operators don't account for: the Guest who shows up may not be the Guest they designed for.

Not because they made a mistake. Because positioning for a new operation isn't something you can finalize before you open. It's something you discover through the first four seasons — the full rotation of your market, your volume patterns, your Guest mix. Until you've run that cycle, you're working from a hypothesis, not a fact.

The operator executing all five fundamentals brilliantly but aimed at the wrong audience is producing an experience that is technically sound and emotionally misaligned. The fundamentals are working. The [Lost Opportunity Tax] runs anyway — on every cover served to a Guest the operation wasn't designed to delight.

Here is how that tax runs on a positioning gap. It is not paid where the gap starts. The gap starts in your read of who you are building for. The tax is paid where the sale would have shown up if the read had been right: the second visit the Guest never made, the friend she never brought, the occasion she took somewhere else, the price you could have held with a Guest who chose you for the reason you were built. None of those appear on your P&L as a loss. They appear as nothing. The report shows a restaurant that stopped growing, and you go looking for the cause in the menu, the labor line, or the marketing budget, because those are the lines in front of you. The cause is upstream of all of them. You built for one Guest and you are serving another, and every downstream line is paying for it.

The Positioning Audit

Once a year — after the first four seasons, and every year after — three questions:

Who did I design this restaurant for? Who is actually walking in? Is the gap by design or by default?

Positioning isn't a one-time decision. It's a recurring discipline. The first four seasons teach you who your Guest is. Every year after that, you decide whether that's still who you're building for.

The annual audit is the deep read. It is not the only read. Your Guest does not wait a year to grade the position. She grades it every visit, and her verdict is whether she comes back. That is why [Positioning Capital] is marked to market every shift: the market keeps the book on what you actually delivered, Guest by Guest, and you hold the pen only on the deposits. An operator who reads the position once a year is reading a ledger that has been updating every night without him. The cast nearest the Guest sees the gap first. The server who notices the same tables asking for something the menu doesn't carry, the host who watches the room fill with a different crowd on Thursdays than the one you designed for, the bartender who knows which regulars stopped coming. Those are positioning reads, delivered nightly, by the people closest to the verdict. The annual audit is where you put them together and decide. It is not where you first hear about them.

Outside In, Not Inside Out

Most operators design their Guest Experience from the inside out. They start with what they want to deliver — the concept they believe in, the food they're proud of, the environment that reflects their taste — and then they present it to the Guest and wait for the response.

That's backwards.

The GX design question is always the same: what does this Guest need to feel in order to want to come back? Every element of the experience — environment, pace, interaction, product, price — is measured against that standard. Not against what you would want. Not against what you're proud of. Against what the Guest came for and whether they got it.

This is the most important shift in how operators think about their restaurants. From "here is what I've built" to "here is what you came for." From inside out to outside in.

The operator who makes that shift doesn't just build a better Guest Experience. They build the only kind of GX that produces loyalty — one where the Guest feels understood rather than just served.

And that requires one thing before anything else: knowing who the Guest is and what they want. Not assuming it. Knowing it.

What the Guest came for does not start at your door. It starts in her life. She is not choosing between your menu and the menu down the street. She is choosing between this evening and every other thing she could do with it. The celebration, the Tuesday she couldn't face cooking, the client she needs to impress, the hour she has between the game and bedtime. That is the shape she arrives in. You can create the experience around how you want to do business, or you can engineer it around how the Guest wants to live her life. The first builds the restaurant you wanted and asks her to fit it. The second starts with the life she is living, works out what this visit has to do for her at this point in her day, and builds the operation to deliver exactly that. Only the second is positioning. The first is a preference with a lease.

Where Inside Out Belongs

There is one thing that does come from the inside, and you should not confuse it with the design. Your convictions come from inside. What you believe about hospitality, the standard you will not drop, the kind of operator you refuse to become. Those originate with you, and no Guest hands them to you. That is the claim. It lives in Perspective, and it is yours to make.

What gets built to deliver the claim is designed from the outside in. The experience, the standards, the cast, the pace, the price, all of it measured against the Guest you claimed. Conviction decides why you are in business and who you are in business for. The Guest decides what that has to look like when she walks in. The operator who builds the design from his convictions gets a restaurant that expresses him. The operator who builds the design from the Guest, under his convictions, gets a restaurant that delivers the claim. Inside out is right for the why. It is wrong for the what.

The Golden Rule Trap

The Golden Rule has no place in hospitality. Not because it's wrong as a moral principle. Because it's a design failure as a business model.

The operator who builds a Guest Experience based on how they want to be treated is designing for themselves. The music they like. The lighting they prefer. The service pace that feels right to them. They're making a thousand micro-decisions about what a great experience looks like — and every one of those decisions is filtered through their own taste.

The Guest isn't them.

The platinum rule of hospitality: treat the Guest the way they want to be treated. That requires knowing who they are. That requires doing the positioning work. There is no shortcut.

Taste is the half of the trap you can see. The music, the lighting, the pace all carry the operator's fingerprints, and once you look for them you find them. The other half has no fingerprints on it, which is why it survives. It is the operation's own standards.

Show Ready Is a Positioning Decision

Plenty of operations run a readiness standard before the doors open. Some call it mise en place. Some call it being Show Ready. The station is stocked, the line is set, the pars are hit, and the manager walks it and signs off. It is a good discipline. It is also a positioning decision, and most operators never notice they made it.

A standard is a position put into practice. Every checklist, every par level, every sign-off encodes a decision about who the operation is for and what it has promised them. When the standard was written from the Guest backward, the checklist delivers the claim. When it was written from the operation forward, around what the kitchen needs, what the manager can check, and what the last operator did, the standard is positioned to the business. The operation grades itself against itself. It can pass every check and the Guest can still walk out unimpressed, because nobody asked her what ready meant.

That is the difference between Show Ready and Guest Ready. Show Ready puts the business first and gets graded inside the building. Guest Ready puts the Guest first and gets graded by her, against what she came for, and the verdict comes when she decides whether to return. Same work. The difference is who decides what counts. Get the order right and Show Ready stops being the standard. It becomes the checklist that delivers it.

The reason this half of the trap is so durable is that the discipline looks healthy. Line checks happen. Pre-shift happens. The cadence is there. In [Positioning Capital], that reinforcement cadence is the deposit rate, but only when the standard being reinforced carries the claim. When it doesn't, the cadence measures compliance, and an operation can run a perfect compliance record while the position contracts. That is [Static Decline] with a clean audit attached to it.

Where you have already ruled that the operation is Guest-first and the checklist in the cast member's hand still runs the business's grade, that is [Default Gravity]. The artifact beats the ruling because the artifact is present at the moment of production. Where no Guest-side ruling was ever made, it is not gravity. It is simply positioning by default, and the fix starts upstream, with deciding who the standard is for.

Positioning Runs Through All Five

Positioning is not a Product decision that the other four fundamentals inherit. It is made, or defaulted, on every one of them. This section sits in Product because Product is where the position is earned or lost one GX at a time. It is not where the position starts, and it is not the only place it can break.

Perspective — how you position your thinking. The claim originates here. Which road you are on, what you are claiming in this market, against this competitive set, for which Guest. A claim you cannot state without adjectives is a claim the operation cannot execute and the market cannot hold. The read on the claim runs on a cadence you set, not on the operation's performance against it, because a claim set against a market that has moved is a dead claim being funded with live deposits. When the read says the claim is gone, [Forward Motion] governs: you move to the claim that is available, you do not defend the one the market already left.

Product — how you position the experience. The claim delivered. Every element of the GX measured against what this Guest came for and the life she brought with her, not against what you are proud of. This is the read the original version of this section carried, and it still holds. It is one read of five.

People — how you position your cast. Who you hire for, what you develop them toward, and how much authority sits close to the Guest. A cast positioned to the business does Show Ready: they pass the check and report the shift as good. A cast positioned to the Guest does Guest Ready: they read her and report what she needed. Ask your cast what the market chooses you for. If their answer doesn't match yours, the position is not being delivered, whatever the concept deck says. A cast scheduled to a transactional labor pattern will produce a transactional GX no matter what claim you declared, because the schedule is the operating physics and the mission statement is not.

Performance — how you position your standards and values. The position put into daily practice, shift by shift, under load. This is where Show Ready lives. The standard either encodes the claim or it encodes the operation's convenience, and the Guest grades whichever one actually ran on the shift she attended. She does not grade your average shift. She grades hers.

Profit — the scorecard. Profit holds no positioning decisions. It scores the ones made on the other four. What it shows is the [Lost Opportunity Tax] above: growth that stalled for no visible reason, price you could not hold, covers that did not come back. What it cannot show is the asset itself. [Positioning Capital] does not sit on any statement you own, which is [Profit Foreclosure], so

the scorecard reports the damage without ever naming the cause. When the scorecard comes in short, it sends you back to re-read the four fundamentals that produced it, starting with who you built for.

What Changes Tomorrow

Take tomorrow's pre-shift checklist and read every line against one question: who decided this was ready, the business or the Guest? Mark every line that exists because it is convenient to check, because the kitchen needs it, or because the last operator did it that way. Then ask the two cast members closest to the Guest what she actually came for tonight. Rewrite one line of the checklist so it delivers that. That one line is the first part of your standard you have positioned on purpose.