135 The Sector Heat Test

Perspective Book · https://kb.jeffreysummers.com/docs/135-the-sector-heat-test/

Every few years a category gets hot. The trade press covers it. The chains adopt it. The conference panels fill with operators who got in early and built something. The social media feeds fill with the ones who got in late and are trying to make it work.

Hot chicken. Ghost kitchens. Food halls. Açaí bowls. Birria tacos. Plant-based everything. The trend arrives, builds momentum, peaks, and either becomes a permanent category or becomes a cautionary case study — usually within three to five years of the moment the industry declared it the next big thing.

The operator who reads the heat as an opportunity signal is almost always late. By the time a trend is being covered in Nation's Restaurant News and discussed at every regional conference, the operators who will own that position have already been building for two years. The rents in high-visibility locations have already priced in the demand. The build-out costs have already inflated. The Guest has already been introduced to the category by three competitors.

The heat is not the opportunity. The heat is the signal that the window of rational entry may already be closing.

What Heat Actually Tells You

When a category gets hot, two things happen simultaneously. The opportunity becomes more visible — more operators see it, more capital chases it, more concepts enter the market. And the opportunity becomes more expensive — rents rise, build-out costs inflate, competition crowds the space, and the Guest who was delighted by the first option in the market becomes progressively less impressed by the fifth.

The operator who enters at peak heat is paying for someone else's excitement about the future. The return on that investment is determined by whether the trend sustains long enough and at sufficient scale to justify the premium paid to enter it.

Most trends do not sustain at the pace a new concept requires to reach profitability. The hot chicken category that had clear runway in 2016 was fully saturated in most major markets by

2022. The ghost kitchen model that seemed like the future of delivery in 2020 produced a graveyard of dark kitchens with no foot traffic and no brand equity by 2023. The food hall format that commanded premium rents in 2018 left operators dependent on landlord-driven foot traffic they could not control by 2021.

In every case the operators who entered early and built a genuine position survived. The operators who entered at peak heat paid the premium and found the market had already absorbed what they were offering.

The Right Question

The operator who treats every trend as a binary choice — build a new concept around it or ignore it entirely — is asking the wrong question.

The right question is: given my brand, can I make this trend mine — or use it to build my position — without the trend becoming something the brand has to become?

That question changes everything. It is not a filter. It is a design discipline — the active, creative work of finding the expression of the trend that is authentically the operation's own. Not the generic version everyone else is running. The version that could only exist in this kitchen, for this Guest, through this brand.

The burger operation that wants to test hot chicken does not ask "should we add a hot chicken sandwich?" They ask "what is our version of hot chicken?" The one that uses the same smoke profile as the house burger. The one that uses the house sauce as the base. The one that arrives on the plate and is unmistakably from this kitchen — not from the ghost kitchen three blocks over running the same category trend with no identity attached to it.

The brand is the filter and the brief simultaneously. It screens out what does not belong and it instructs how to build what does. The key clause in the question is the last one: without the trend becoming something the brand has to become. The trend the brand has to reorganize around is not an extension. It is a pivot. Pivots are different decisions with different risks. The design discipline test is whether the trend can live inside the existing brand architecture — strengthening the position — without requiring the brand to become something else to hold it.

The Adoption Spectrum

The design discipline question produces three possible answers — and each answer maps to a different level of commitment.

The LTO — the lowest-investment, lowest-commitment test. The trend expressed as a Limited Time Offer. The operator uses the trend to generate trial, test Guest response, and create a reason to visit — without committing the brand to it permanently. Built from existing resources. Expressed through the brand's identity. On the menu for thirty to sixty days.

The LTO answers the only question that matters before any larger commitment: does my specific Guest, in my specific market, at my specific price point, respond to this trend when it is expressed through my brand? Not what the national trend data says. What happens when this Guest encounters this version of this trend in this building.

If the answer is yes — the LTO has earned the conversation about a line extension. If the answer is no — the trend comes off the menu and the brand is unchanged. The investment was proportional to the information produced. The operator is no worse for having tested it.

The Shake Shack case study runs the inverse. When the brand reduced menu innovation and pulled back on limited-time promotional activity in pursuit of operational simplicity, Guest visits declined. Not because the core product got worse. Because the reason to return — the novelty, the trial, the "what's new?" pull — disappeared from the Guest's calculus. A brand with genuine loyalty and strong unit economics discovered that LTO discipline was not a marketing tactic. It was a traffic driver. Removing it produced the traffic data that proved it. The independent operator does not need a Shake Shack-sized marketing budget to run the same discipline. They need the same understanding: the Guest who has tried you once needs a reason to try you again before they become the Guest who returns out of relationship. The LTO is that reason.

The Line Extension — the trend that passed the LTO test and earned a permanent place. Built from existing resources or with minimal additional investment. Expressed through the brand's identity in a way that deepens the position rather than diluting it. Serving the existing Guest base in a way that strengthens what the operation already is.

The line extension is the trend made permanent — but only after the LTO proved it belonged. The operator who skips the LTO and goes straight to the line extension has committed to permanence before earning the evidence that permanence is warranted.

The Concept Bet — the trend that cannot be absorbed as an LTO or a line extension without the brand having to become something different to hold it. This is the highest-investment, highest-risk adoption — and the one that requires the most evidence before committing. Not trend data. Not conference buzz. Evidence from the operator's own Guest base, produced through the tests that came before it.

The concept bet that is made from evidence — from an LTO that performed, from a line extension that compounded, from a Guest base that demonstrated genuine demand — is a rational expansion of what the operation already proved. The concept bet made from enthusiasm — from heat, from FOMO, from the fear of missing a trend that may already be past its peak — is a speculation dressed as a strategy.

The Discipline Sequence

LTO first. Line extension if it earns it. Concept bet only if the evidence from the first two demands it.

Most operators skip the first two and go straight to the third. That is why most trend-chasing fails — not because the trend was wrong but because the operator skipped the tests that would have told them whether the trend was right for their specific operation, at their specific moment, through their specific brand.

The discipline sequence is not timid. It is efficient. The operator who runs it correctly moves faster and spends less than the operator who bets on heat — because they are always building on evidence rather than excitement.

The operators who win in any trend cycle are almost never the ones who moved first on the heat. They are the ones who moved first on the evidence. The evidence is available to every operator willing to run the test inside the operation they already have.

What Changes Tomorrow

Name one trend currently generating heat in your market or your category. Ask the design discipline question: given my brand, can I make this trend mine — or use it to build my position — without the trend becoming something the brand has to become? If the answer produces a version of the trend that is specifically yours — build the LTO. Put it on the menu for thirty days. Let your Guest tell you whether it belongs. That is the test. Everything else follows from what the test produces.