127 Every Direction Is a Curriculum

Perspective Book · https://kb.jeffreysummers.com/docs/127-every-direction-is-a-curriculum/

The operator who believes their education ended when their formal training did has already begun to fall behind. The operator who believes their education is only available in books, conferences, and industry publications has narrowed their curriculum to a fraction of what is available to them every shift they work.

Learning in this business does not arrive on a schedule. It arrives from every direction — above, below, beside, in front, behind, and from the failures the operator has not yet fully examined. The operator who is paying attention is always in school. The operator who stopped paying attention stopped learning the day they decided they already knew enough.

That decision is always expensive. It just takes a while to show up on the bill.

The Five Directions

Every learning source available to the operator comes from one of five directions. Each direction teaches something different. Each requires a different kind of attention. None of them can be replaced by the others.

Direction 1 — Formal Learning

The most visible and most overrated. Formal learning gives the operator frameworks and vocabulary. It does not give them judgment. Judgment comes from application — from taking the framework into the building and finding out what it actually produces in contact with reality.

Sources: industry associations — NRA, state restaurant associations, local chapters. Trade publications — Nation's Restaurant News, Restaurant Business, QSR Magazine, FSR Magazine. Academic research — Cornell Hospitality Quarterly, Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Research. Business literature beyond hospitality — organizational behavior, leadership, behavioral economics, consumer psychology. Conferences — MUFSO, the NRA Show, regional operator gatherings. Online learning platforms specific to hospitality operations.

If you always read the same things as everyone else in your industry, you'll only ever have the same thoughts. The operator whose reading stops at the industry trade stack has given themselves the same curriculum as every competitor. The advantage is in the five directions, not in reading the same ones faster.

The discipline: consume formal learning as hypothesis, not prescription. Every framework you encounter is someone else's conclusion drawn from someone else's context. Your job is to test it against your building, your Guest, your cast, and your market — and to keep what holds and discard what doesn't. The operator who swallows frameworks whole without testing them has not learned. They have borrowed someone else's certainty. That is the [Dogma Trap] operating at the learning level.

Direction 2 — Observational Learning

Every operation you walk into as a Guest is a live case study. Not just competitors — any operation that produces something worth studying. The breakfast diner with a 30-year regular base. The fast casual concept that holds its standard across 200 locations. The fine dining room that produces genuine belonging at $200 a cover. The hotel lobby that manages Guest flow without a single awkward moment. The retail store whose cast reads every Guest within thirty seconds of entry.

Sources: your own Guest experiences — every restaurant, hotel, retail environment, and service business you enter. Competitive visits — structured, not casual. What did they do, what did it produce, what would you replicate and what would you never do? Adjacent industry observation — hospitality, retail, healthcare, any service business that produces a Guest experience worth studying. International operations — what markets outside the US are producing that has not yet reached your market.

The discipline: walk in with questions, not impressions. The operator who eats at a competitor and comes home saying "it was good" or "it was bad" learned nothing. The operator who eats at a competitor and comes home with five specific observations — what the host did at the greeting, how the cast moved during a table turn, what the menu design communicated before the server arrived, how the check was presented, what the farewell felt like — has built curriculum from a single meal.

Direction 3 — Experiential Learning

The richest curriculum available and the one most operators mine least deliberately. What the operator's own operation teaches them when they are reading it honestly — the shift that went wrong and was examined, the Guest who left and was understood, the cast member who thrived and whose conditions were replicated, the metric that moved and was traced to its cause.

Sources: your own POS and operational data — read as a learning document, not just a performance report. Post-shift debriefs — structured extraction of what the shift taught. Guest feedback — VoG program, reviews, direct conversations. Cast exit interviews — the cast member who left honestly is one of the most valuable learning sources available and almost never used. Near-misses — the shift that almost broke, the Guest who almost left, the cast member who almost quit. Near-misses are failures that did not complete and are therefore still available to learn from before the full cost is paid.

The discipline: debrief everything. Not just the failures — the successes too. The shift that ran exceptionally well produced specific conditions that were either designed or accidental. The operator who knows which one it was can replicate the conditions. The operator who only knows it went well cannot.

Direction 4 — Relational Learning

What other operators, cast members, Guests, vendors, and mentors teach through direct exchange. This is the curriculum that arrives through conversation — and it is only available to the operator who has built the relationships that produce honest conversation.

Sources: peer operator networks — formal and informal. The operator two markets over who solved the problem differently. Mentors — operators who have successfully done what you are trying to do, at the scale and in the context that resembles yours. Vendors and purveyors — market intelligence from people who see more operations per week than you will see in a year. Cast members — especially the ones who have worked elsewhere and can name the difference between what they experienced there and what they experience here. Guests — especially the regulars who will tell you what they actually think if the relationship makes it safe to do so.

The discipline: ask better questions. The operator who asks "how's business?" gets a social answer. The operator who asks "what is the one thing you are seeing across your accounts right now that most operators are missing?" gets intelligence. The quality of the learning from relational

sources is determined entirely by the quality of the questions the operator is willing to ask.

Direction 5 — Failure Learning

The most specific, most durable, and most avoided curriculum. Examined honestly it produces the clearest possible picture of what the operator's decisions actually produce. Avoided or rationalized, it compounds the conditions that produced the failure in the first place.

Sources: your own operational failures — examined with honesty and without defensiveness. Industry failures — publicly documented cases of what went wrong and why. The chain that over-expanded and lost its identity. The independent that built on a trend instead of a position and closed when the trend moved. These are not cautionary tales to feel superior to — they are case studies in the mechanics of decline. Academic and journalistic post-mortems on restaurant failures — more rigorous than the oral tradition of "what went wrong."

The discipline: conduct the autopsy. Every significant failure the operator has experienced deserves a structured examination — what was the decision, what assumption did it rest on, what did the outcome reveal about that assumption, and what would a different decision have produced? The operator who blames the market, the competition, the economy, or bad luck for every failure has not learned from any of them. The operator who asks "what did I decide and what did it produce?" has turned every failure into a tuition payment that actually purchased something.

The Peter Brand Problem

Every operation has someone who sees what needs to be done and gets shut down for saying it.

Not because they are wrong. Because the people with authority in the room have too much invested in the current model to hear them. The Billy Beane scene from Moneyball plays out in restaurant operations every day — the roomful of experienced people answering the symptom instead of the problem, and the one person in the room who sees the actual problem getting treated like a threat rather than a resource.

In a restaurant it looks like this: the cast member who tells the manager the ticket times are destroying the Guest experience and gets told to worry about their tables. The kitchen manager who names the staffing model as the cause of the quality inconsistency and gets told to work with what they have. The server who reads the room and knows the Guest at table six is not coming back and has no channel to surface that read to anyone who can act on it.

Every one of those people is curriculum. The cast member below you who sees what you cannot see from where you stand is offering you intelligence that your position has made invisible to you. The operator who builds the culture that makes it safe to say what needs to be said has access to the most current, most specific, most operationally relevant learning available anywhere. The operator who shuts it down has silenced their best source and will not know what they are missing until the problem it was describing becomes undeniable.

The Extraction Discipline

The difference between the operator who accumulates experience and the operator who develops judgment is the extraction discipline — the deliberate practice of asking what each experience taught, not just cataloguing that it happened.

The bad boss is not just a bad memory. They are a specific, detailed case study in what a particular kind of leadership decision produces — in the cast who experienced it, in the Guests who felt it, in the business that ran under it. The operator who extracts that case study deliberately — what specifically did they do, what specifically did it produce, what would I never replicate and why — has turned a negative experience into a permanent operational reference.

The same extraction runs in every direction. The exceptional Guest experience observed at a competitor — what specifically produced it? The shift that ran better than any shift this month — what were the conditions? The cast member who raised the floor of everyone around them — what specifically did they do and how do I build the conditions that produce more of it?

The extraction discipline converts experience from autobiography into curriculum. The operator who runs it consistently across all five directions does not just accumulate more experience than their competitors. They compound it — each extraction building on the prior one, each lesson connecting to the others, each direction feeding the operator's judgment in ways that no single source ever could.

That is the education the industry cannot give you. It can only be built from the inside, one deliberate extraction at a time.

What Changes Tomorrow

Pick one experience from the last thirty days — a shift, a hire, a Guest interaction, a conversation with a vendor, a failure you have not yet examined. Run the extraction: what specifically happened, what decision or condition produced it, what does it reveal about an assumption you

have been carrying, and what would you do differently now that you know? Write it down. That is the discipline. Done once it is a useful exercise. Done every week it is the most valuable professional development available to any operator at any level — and it costs nothing except the willingness to look honestly at what your decisions are actually producing.

Cross-fundamental note: connects to 1.IT.6 — The Dogma Trap (the operator who swallows formal learning whole without testing it is running the [Dogma Trap] at the learning level — Direction 1's discipline of consuming frameworks as hypothesis, not prescription, is the specific corrective) and 3.X — People (Direction 4 — Relational Learning — is a People discipline at its core: the cast member who sees what the operator cannot, the exit interview that was never run, the regular Guest who will tell the truth if the relationship makes it safe — all of those are People instruments that feed the operator's read when the culture makes it possible).