123 The Industrys Pipe Bias

Perspective Book · https://kb.jeffreysummers.com/docs/123-the-industrys-pipe-bias/

Every piece of research the restaurant industry produces about itself has a pipe. And every pipe has a bias.

The dominant pipe is chain reporting. Publicly traded restaurant companies have investor relations obligations — quarterly earnings calls, SEC filings, annual reports, franchise disclosure documents. They report unit economics, same-store sales, AUV, labor percentages, food costs, and traffic trends because they are legally required to and financially incentivized to frame those numbers favorably. Nation's Restaurant News, QSR Magazine, and every major trade publication cover this data continuously because it exists, it is accessible, and it is produced on a schedule that matches the publication cycle.

The independent operator produces none of this. No investor relations. No public reporting requirements. No quarterly earnings call. No franchise disclosure document. No NRN coverage of their unit economics. The data infrastructure that makes chain behavior trackable at scale does not exist for the independent operator — not because independents choose to withhold it, but because they have no structural obligation to produce it and no infrastructure to aggregate it even if they wanted to. The chain pipe is loud because chains are required to speak into it. The independent pipe is silent because it was never built.

What fills the gap is 3P aggregation. OpenTable measures covers from restaurants using OpenTable — which skews toward a certain size, price point, and technology adoption level. Toast measures transaction data from restaurants running Toast POS. Yelp measures reviews from Guests who use Yelp. Black Box Intelligence measures from operators who participate in their benchmarking program. Every one of those samples is biased by the platform that collected it — filtered through the 3P's own infrastructure, shaped by which operators adopted the platform, and reported in ways that support the 3P's business model. OpenTable's research favors conclusions that make reservation management look essential. Toast's research favors conclusions that make POS technology look like the lever. The 3P pipe reports on operators who use the 3P. It tells you nothing reliable about the ones who don't.

Government data exists but reports the wrong things. Texas TABC publishes mixed beverage gross receipts by permit holder — actual revenue data, not survey data, legally required rather than voluntarily submitted. The BLS tracks restaurant employment and wages. The Census Bureau tracks aggregate industry sales. These pipes cover the full population because the reporting obligation is legal rather than voluntary. But they report compliance data — taxes paid, permits held, employees on payroll. They do not report operational performance data. The food cost percentage, the labor model, the Guest return frequency, the margin structure — none of that exists in any government pipe at the establishment level.

The professional services ecosystem around the independent operator generates its own research — whitepapers, benchmarks, case studies — all shaped by the service being sold. The payroll processor's labor benchmarks favor conclusions that make payroll complexity look necessary. The POS vendor's performance data favors conclusions that make technology adoption look like the lever. The marketing agency's ROI reports favor conclusions that make marketing spend look productive. The accountant sees the numbers as tax compliance. The lawyer sees them as legal exposure. Neither one reads them as operational signals — because neither one was trained to read the upstream and downstream functioning of the numbers, and neither one's business model requires them to.

The independent operator who assembles a full professional services team has covered every compliance function and is still completely alone on the operational intelligence question.

So what exists for the independent operator to benchmark their own performance? Their own P&L — accurate but uncontextualized. Their peer network — the most useful benchmark available and the hardest to build, rare, informal, not systematized. The NRA Operations Data Abstract — voluntary participation, small independent sample, useful as a directional reference and not much more.

Every pipe is biased by the business model of whoever built it. That includes this book.

The framework in these pages is built from 44 years of observational work across hundreds of independent operations — one person's record of what actually happens inside buildings that are not publicly traded, not platform-dependent, not aggregated into any industry benchmark. That record has its own bias. It is filtered through one framework, shaped by the clients and markets and concepts that produced the observation set. It is not neutral.

But the bias here is a different kind. Every other pipe has a bias toward a conclusion that serves the pipe's business model. The bias in this framework is toward the operator's specific reality —

toward finding what is actually true for this operator, in this building, in this market, at this point in time. Not toward a benchmark that fits the operator into a sample that was never built from operators like them. Not toward a conclusion that justifies a service or a platform or a reporting obligation. Toward the honest diagnosis of what this operation is actually producing and what produced it.

Named bias that can be examined is more useful than hidden bias that cannot. The operator who reads this framework and applies it gets to run the verdict themselves — through the [Outcomes Formula], against their own numbers, in their own building. The operator who reads an NRA benchmark has no way to know whose operation produced it or whether their situation resembles the sample at all.

Which is why the only benchmark that ultimately matters to the independent operator is the one they own completely: the last experience they delivered to the last Guest who walked through their door.

Not last quarter's numbers. Not the industry average. Not what the chain down the street is reporting. The last Guest. The last experience. That verdict is current, specific, and actionable in a way no industry benchmark has ever been or can ever be. It tells the operator exactly what their thinking produced — in real time, in their own building, for the Guest who is now in the parking lot deciding whether to return.

The research industry cannot measure that. The 3P cannot aggregate it. The professional services ecosystem cannot report it. The operator is the only one in the room when that verdict lands.

That is the most important data point in the independent restaurant business. And it belongs entirely to the operator who was present enough to read it.

What Changes Tomorrow

The next time you reach for an industry benchmark — a food cost percentage, a labor ratio, a comp sales figure — ask whose operation produced it and whether that operation resembles yours. If the answer is chains, or platform users, or voluntary participants in a benchmarking program, the number tells you something about those operations. It tells you nothing reliable about yours. Your benchmark is your last period against your prior period. Your standard is the last experience you delivered against the experience your MDV demands. Start there.