089 The ValueAdd Test

Perspective Book · https://kb.jeffreysummers.com/docs/089-the-valueadd-test/

Every decision the operator makes is either adding value or contracting it.

Not some decisions. Every decision. The hire. The menu item. The vendor. The promotion. The schedule. The cost cut. The marketing campaign. The cast member left in the wrong role one shift too long. Every one of them is either compounding what came before it or contracting it. There is no third option. The [Law of Constant Motion] does not have a category for neutral.

This is the operating standard that sits above every domain — above marketing, above people, above product, above profit. Before the operator asks whether a decision is good marketing or smart cost management or the right hire, they ask one question: does this add value or contract it? If they cannot answer that question, they are not ready to make the decision.

What Value-Add Actually Means

Value-add is not the same as cost-effective. A decision can be cheap and contracting. A decision can be expensive and compounding. The test is not the cost — it is the direction.

The value-add decision moves the operation toward what it is trying to become. It adds to the Guest relationship, the cast standard, the community trust, the brand position, or the operational integrity that makes everything else possible. It does not have to be large. It does not have to be visible. It has to be pointed in the right direction.

The contracting decision moves the operation away from what it is trying to become. It degrades the Guest relationship, lowers the cast standard, erodes the community trust, weakens the brand position, or undermines the operational integrity underneath. It may look neutral on the dashboard. It may look rational in the moment. The [Law of Constant Motion] says it is neither.

The slot-fill hire looks neutral — the shift is covered, the position is occupied, the schedule works. Under the value-add test it is a contraction. The wrong person in the position degrades the standard around them from the first shift. The cast reads what the operation just signaled it accepts. The Guest who draws that section experiences the contraction that night. The damage does not wait for the P&L. It runs in real time from the moment the decision was made.

The promotion that spikes covers looks like a win — the dashboard shows the traffic, the covers are up, the operator declares success. Under the value-add test it is a contraction if the architecture was not ready to sustain what the promotion promised. The Guests who came in for the promotion and found the gap between the marketing and the experience did not come back. The covers spike on the dashboard and the return rate drops in a different column three months later. The operator never connected the two because the instrument reported the spike without reporting what the spike cost.

The Compounding and the Contracting

The value-add decision compounds slowly. The hire who adds value builds their contribution shift over shift — deeper read, stronger Guest relationships, higher floor for the cast around them. The community investment that adds value earns trust visit over visit, event over event, year over year. The menu item that genuinely serves the Guest builds its own return rate one order at a time. The compounding is real. It is constant. It is moderate in velocity and unstoppable in direction.

The contracting decision moves faster. The bad hire's indifference lands immediately. The cast reads the new standard on the first shift. The Guest who experienced the contraction that night leaves with a lower read of the operation. The cost cut that compressed the dish gets noticed by the Guest before the operator notices the margin move. Contraction is faster than compounding because degradation travels at the speed of a single experience and compounding requires the accumulation of many.

The asymmetry is the most important thing the operator needs to understand about the value-add test. Good decisions compound slowly and constantly. Bad decisions contract quickly and continuously. The operation that makes a run of contracting decisions does not decline gradually — it falls at the rate that contraction compounds, which is faster than any recovery program can run in the opposite direction.

This is why [Hacksterism] is so expensive. The hack that fills the slot, spikes the covers, protects the number, or scripts the hospitality produces an immediate result that looks like a win and runs as a contraction from the moment it is deployed. The operator who runs enough hacks in enough domains does not have a marketing problem or a people problem or a profit problem. They have a compounding contraction problem — and the bill arrives all at once when the market stops compensating for what the decisions have been producing.

The Moat

The operator who passes the value-add test consistently, across every domain, for years — builds something no competitor can replicate regardless of their resources.

The competitor can see what was built. They can study the menu, the service model, the cast culture, the Guest relationships, the community presence. They can attempt to replicate the surface. What they cannot replicate is the time.

The compounding that built the moat took years of value-add decisions running in the same direction — every hire that raised the floor, every Guest relationship that deepened, every community investment that matured, every cast member who developed and stayed, every pre-shift that built the culture one shift at a time. The competitor who wants what that operation has cannot buy the time it took to build it. They can only start their own compounding clock — which means by the time they arrive where the incumbent is, the incumbent is somewhere else.

[Relational Compounding] is not a marketing strategy. It is not a loyalty program. It is not a retention tactic. It is the natural output of an operation that has been making value-add decisions consistently, in the same direction, over time. The relationships compound. The cast culture compounds. The community trust compounds. The Guest loyalty compounds. The reputation compounds. The moat deepens.

The competitor who wants to cross it has to build their own — from scratch, in real time, while the incumbent keeps compounding. The math does not care how good their intentions are or how large their resources. They are starting at zero on a compounding curve the incumbent has been riding for years. The gap widens with every passing shift.

That is the value-add test's ultimate payoff. Not one good decision. The accumulation of every value-add decision, compounding continuously, building the one competitive advantage that time makes irreplaceable.

What Changes Tomorrow

Take the last three decisions you made — hiring, menu, marketing, scheduling, vendor, anything. Apply the test to each. Does this add value or contract it? Not to the number. To the Guest relationship, the cast standard, the community trust, the brand position. If you cannot answer cleanly, the decision was made without the test. Run it now, before the contraction compounds further.