071 The Outcomes Formula

Perspective Book · https://kb.jeffreysummers.com/docs/071-the-outcomes-formula/

Your outcomes are the verdict on your thinking.

Not on your effort. Not on your intention. Not on the soundness of your logic at the time you made the decision. On the thinking that produced the decision — the assumptions it ran on, the reads it used, the intelligence it gathered or skipped, the gate it cleared or bypassed. The outcome is the only reliable test of all of it. And the test runs backwards.

Right thinking produces right outcomes. Wrong thinking produces wrong outcomes. The formula is not a reward system — it is a diagnostic. When the outcome is right, the thinking behind it was right. When the outcome is wrong, something in the thinking was wrong. Not wrong in retrospect, wrong at the time — but the operator could not see it until the outcome made it visible.

This is what makes the formula uncomfortable. The operator who made a decision that felt sound, researched, confident — and got a wrong outcome — did not make a sound decision that got unlucky. They made a wrong decision that felt sound. The feeling is not the test. The outcome is.

The formula runs in one direction: backward. You look at what the decision produced, and that tells you what to think about how you thought. The outcome that came back right confirms the thinking was right. The outcome that came back wrong opens the thinking back up — not to punish, but to find where it broke. The break is the learning. The learning is what makes the next decision better.

Most operators never run this pass. The outcome arrives — good or bad — and they move to the next decision. The good outcome gets credited to skill. The bad outcome gets attributed to circumstance. Neither gets examined. The thinking that produced both goes forward unchanged into the next significant decision, carrying the same assumptions, the same blind spots, the same unexamined reads that produced whatever just happened.

That is how bad thinking compounds. Not dramatically. Quietly. Decision after decision, the unexamined thinking runs forward, producing outcomes the operator is not connecting back to their source. The pattern builds. The P&L eventually reports it. By then, the decisions that produced it are months in the past and the connection is invisible.

[The Outcomes Formula] closes that loop. It makes the post-action read mandatory — not optional, not aspirational, not something the operator does when there is time. The outcome is always telling the operator something about the thinking that produced it. The operator's job is to listen.

This is why the Decision Architecture that follows exists. Not to slow decisions down. Not to add process for its own sake. To produce thinking that the [Outcomes Formula] can validate — thinking that is rigorous enough, honest enough, and challenged enough before execution that the outcome it produces is the outcome that was earned, not the outcome that happened by accident or default.

The architecture is the input. The outcome is the verdict. Every section in this arc is about building the thinking that earns the right verdict.

What Changes Tomorrow

Name one significant decision you made in the last ninety days that produced an outcome — right or wrong. Run the formula backwards: what did the outcome tell you about the thinking that produced it? If the outcome was right, name the thinking that earned it. If the outcome was wrong, name where the thinking broke. Not to assign blame. To find the break before you carry it into the next significant decision. The formula only works if you run it.