036 The Cost Read

Perspective Book · https://kb.jeffreysummers.com/docs/036-the-cost-read/

Cost pressure is the signal operators read worst.

Not because it is complicated — the math is simple. Not because it is invisible — the cost line moves and the P&L reports it. Operators read cost pressure worst because the signal arrives with an answer already attached. The cost line went up. The cost line must come down. The response feels obvious before the read even begins. And the read that arrives pre-answered is not a read. It is a decision wearing the costume of analysis.

The Subtraction Trap

The subtraction response is Road 1's answer to cost pressure. It is fast, measurable, and — applied to the wrong target — catastrophic.

To be precise about what this section is arguing against: the cost read exists to surface and eliminate inefficiency. Subtracting waste, drag, underperforming menu items, scheduling that doesn't match the demand curve, vendor relationships that have outlived their value — that subtraction is always right. That is the point of the cost read. The subtraction this section argues against is different: the reduction of the elements that produce the Guest's reason to return. The portion that signals value. The staffing level that produces the standard of welcome. The human touchpoint that doesn't show up as a line item until it disappears and Guests stop coming back.

The Road 1 operator does not distinguish between these two. When the food cost percentage climbs, they reduce portions. When labor runs high, they cut hours. When the occupancy burden increases, they eliminate the human touchpoints — the warmth, the attention, the standard of welcome the Guest never saw as a cost but will notice as an absence. The subtraction moves the number and accelerates the deterioration of every variable that cannot be measured until it is too late to reverse.

Here is the trajectory. Portions decrease — Guests begin to calculate whether the value equation still holds. When Guests calculate, they negotiate or they leave. When enough Guests negotiate or leave, covers drop. When covers drop, cost percentages climb again because the fixed cost base didn't shrink when the revenue did. The operator subtracts again. The ceiling on what the

operation can charge drops another increment. The cycle runs until the operation has subtracted its way out of the identity that justified the price in the first place — and now it is competing on a commodity basis against operations that were built for commodity competition and will always win it.

The operator who confuses Guest-experience subtraction with operational efficiency work is not reading cost pressure. They are managing one number on one instrument and calling it a diagnosis.

The Road 2 Read of Cost Pressure

The Road 2 operator reads the same cost pressure and asks a different question before choosing a response: what is this signal actually telling me, and is the response I'm about to run the right one for what it's actually telling me?

Cost pressure can mean four different things. Each one requires a different response.

It can mean the operation is running inefficiently — waste, theft, vendor underperformance, scheduling that doesn't match the demand curve, a menu mix that produces high food cost across the board. This is a systems problem. The response is precision work — audit the waste, examine the schedule against the actual cover count by hour, run the menu mix against margin contribution. This is where subtraction belongs: subtracting the inefficiency, not the experience.

It can mean the operation has outgrown its current vendor relationships — the market has moved and the contracts haven't. This is a procurement problem. The response is renegotiation, rebidding, or relationship-building with a vendor whose economics fit the current operation. Intelligence, not subtraction.

It can mean the operation's price architecture hasn't kept pace with its cost architecture — the operator has been absorbing increases rather than passing them through, afraid of Guest resistance. This is a pricing confidence problem before it is a Profit one. An honest price increase, communicated transparently, preserves the Guest relationship. The Guest who understands that costs have risen and that the operation's commitment to their experience hasn't is the Guest who accepts the increase. The subtraction they never heard about, delivered silently through a smaller portion or a shorter staff — that erodes trust in a way that is harder to recover than any price increase. Transparency costs something in the short term. Subtraction costs the relationship.

It can mean the operation is being squeezed by forces outside its control — labor market rates, commodity inflation, occupancy escalation, insurance. These are structural pressures. The

response is not to subtract the Guest experience in order to preserve the margin. It is to examine whether the operation has built the Guest loyalty that supports the price it needs to charge — and if not, to build it rather than subtract the thing that would have built it.

In every case, the response that serves the operation's five-year trajectory is different from the subtraction response that manages the next P&L. The subtraction response is always available. It is rarely aimed at the right target. The operator who distinguishes between subtracting inefficiency and subtracting value is building the trajectory the undiscriminating subtracter is destroying.

What Changes Tomorrow

The next time a cost line moves, run the read before the decision. Name which of the four signals the cost pressure is actually sending — efficiency failure, procurement gap, pricing confidence failure, or structural pressure. Then name the response that addresses the actual signal. Ask one question before you act: am I subtracting inefficiency or am I subtracting the experience? The first makes the operation stronger. The second makes the Guest's reason to return smaller — and that is a cost that never appears on the P&L until the damage is done.